Influence of printing parameters on mechanical properties of recycled PET and PETG using fused granular fabrication technique

The aim of this study is to analyse the influences of FGF printing parameters on mechanical properties of FGF printed rPET and rPETG materials. The design of experiments (DOE) was performed considering the key FGF printing parameters such as layer thickness, infill density and number of contours. Subsequent experimental studies delve into the effects of these printing parameters on tensile properties, guided by the outcomes of the DOE. To further assess the tensile properties, the study evaluates the influence of interlayer bonding on the printed parts through finite element-based multiscale modelling. The fracture morphology and alterations in the chemical structure of post-3D printed products are observed using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). This research provides valuable insights into the optimal processing conditions necessary for achieving high-quality 3D-printed parts using the FGF technique.

Aim and Objectives

Introduction

Additive manufacturing, also known as 3D printing, represents a revolutionary approach to production, enabling complex designs and reducing material waste, which positions it as a key player in sustainable manufacturing for the future. Among its various technologies, Fused Granular Fabrication (FGF) emerges as particularly promising for environmental sustainability, as it facilitates the reuse of plastic waste, converting it into new products and thus promoting a circular economy. This process not only diverts plastic waste from landfills but also reduces the need for virgin materials, making it a crucial tool in combating plastic pollution. However, current literature reveals a significant gap in research on the use of

• The maximum tensile strength of 26.4 MPa for rPET was achieved with a layer thickness of 1.1 mm, an infill density of 70%, and 3 contours.

recycled plastics specifically in FGF, highlighting an area ripe for exploration.

Methodology

Fig. 1 (a) Gigabot X2 - FGF printer (b) FGF printed spiral vase

- The maximum tensile strength of 44.8 MPa for rPETG was attained at 1.2 mm layer thickness, a 100% infill density, and 2 contours.
- The mesoscale modelling approach effectively established the upper and lower bounds of tensile strength for both rPETG and rPET.
- The fracture surface characteristics of both rPET and rPETG exhibited typical brittle fracture behaviour.
- No indications of voids are observed on the fracture surfaces of both rPET and rPETG, demonstrating the excellent quality of the 3D-printed specimens produced through the FGF method.

Results and Discussion

SDGs 8, 9, and 12

Phan Quoc Khang Nguyen, Jojibabu Panta, Tosin Famakinwa, and Richard (Chunhui Yang)

Conclusions

Research Outcomes

Nguyen, P.Q.K.; Panta, J.; Famakinwa, T.; Yang, R.; Ahmed, A.; Stapleton, M.; Sassaman, D.; Snabes, S.; Craff, C. Influences of printing parameters on mechanical properties of recycled PET and PETG using fused granular fabrication technique. Polymer Testing 2024, 108390, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2024.108390.

Nguyen, P.Q.K.; Zohdi, N.; Zhang, Y.X.; Zhang, Z.; Yang, R. Study on material behaviours of additively manufactured high-impact polystyrene using artificial neural networks. Progress in Additive Manufacturing 2024, doi:10.1007/s40964-024-00718-6.

Zohdi, N.; **Nguyen, P.Q.K**.; Yang, R. Evaluation on Material Anisotropy of Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene Printed via Fused Deposition Modelling. Applied Sciences 2024, 14, 1870.

Nguyen, P.Q.K.; Zohdi, N.; Kamlade, P.; Yang, R.C. Predicting Material Properties of Additively Manufactured Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene via a Multiscale Analysis Process. Polymers (Basel) 2022, 14, 4310, doi:10.3390/polym14204310.

In this study, the effects of FGF printing parameters on the tensile strength of the FGFprinted rPET and rPETG were studied:

Fig. 9 SEM images of the side (a and b) and fracture surfaces at intersections of multiple layers (c and) of rPET and rPETG, respectively

Fig. 5 a) Intrinsic element, b) Aligned model, c) Sparse model at 100% infill, d) Sparse model at 70% infill, and e) Sparse model at 40% infill.

Fig. 3 FGF printed samples (a) rPET and (b) rPETG with 40% (left), 70% (middle) and 100% (right) infill percentages

Fig. 6 Load vs displacement of 3D-printed (a) rPET and (b) rPETG at different printing parameters

Fig. 7 Main effect plots for the tensile strength of (a) rPET and (b) rPETG

Fig. 8 Experimental and predicted modelling results for 3D printed (a) rPET and (b) rPETG

Fig. 4 SEM image of the 3D-printed rPETG specimen with 1.2 mm layer thickness, 100% infill and 3 contours

School of Engineering, Design and Built Environment

WESTERN SYDNEY UNIVERSITY

Centre for Advanced Manufacturing Technology

Fig. 2 FGF process parameters and corresponding levels used in DOE for rPET and rPETG

